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#### Abstract

In this paper using a new effective approach we deduce some bounds and inequalities for general orthogonal polynomials on finite intervals and give their applications to convergence of orthogonal Fourier series, Lagrange interpolation, orthogonal series with gaps, and Hermite-Fejér interpolation, as well as to the $L^{2}$ version of the principle of contamination. The main results are: we obtain farreaching generalizations of the important results of $\mathbf{P}$. Nevai on divergence of Lagrange interpolation in $L^{p}$ with $p>2$ ["Orthogonal Polynomials," Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 213, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979, Corollary 10.18, p. 181; J Approx. Theory 43 (1985), Theorem, p. 190] and give new answers to Problems VIII and IX of P. Turán [J. Approx. Theory 29 (1980), pp. 32-33]; we extend Turán's Inequality [Anal. Math. 1 (1975), 297-311, Lemma II] to "arbitrary" measures supported in $[-1,1]$ and solve Problem LXXI of P. Turán [J. Approx. Theory 29 (1980), p. 71]. © 1993 Academic Press. Inc.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\alpha(x)$ be a nondecreasing function on $[-1,1]$ with infinitely many points of increase such that all moments of $d \alpha(x)$ are finite and $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x):=P_{n}(d \alpha, x)=\gamma_{n} x^{n}+\ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\gamma_{n}:=\gamma_{n}(d \alpha)>0\right)$, the orthonormal polynomials with respect to $d \alpha$. The support of $d \alpha$ is the set of points of increase of $x(x)$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{supp}(d x)$. We call $d x$ a measure.

Denote a triangular matrix of nodes by

$$
\begin{align*}
X:\left(x_{0} \equiv x_{0, n} \equiv\right) 1 & \geqslant x_{1 n}>x_{2 n}>\cdots>x_{n n} \\
& \geqslant-1\left(\equiv x_{n+1, n} \equiv x_{n+1}\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]and the Lagrange interpolating polynomial of $f \in C[-1,1]$ by
$$
L_{n}(f):=L_{n}(X, f):=L_{n}(X, f, x):=\sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}(x), \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$
where the fundamental polynomials
$$
l_{k n}(x)=\frac{\omega_{n}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k n}\right) \omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$
with $\omega_{n}(x)=\left(x-x_{1 n}\right)\left(x-x_{2 n}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n n}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$. If $X$ consists of the zeros of $P_{n}(x)$ then we write $L_{n}(d x, f)$ instead of $L_{n}(X, f)$. For simplicity sometimes we also write $x_{k}$ instead of $x_{k n}$, etc.

As we know,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}(x):=\lambda_{n}(d x, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{k}^{2}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{l_{k n}^{2}(x)}{\lambda_{k n}}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called the Christoffel functions, where

$$
\lambda_{k n}=\lambda_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

In attempting to study convergence of orthogonal Fourier series or convergence of Lagrange interpolation at zeros of orthogonal polynomials, one invariably encounters the need for bounds and inequalities on the orthogonal polynomials on the interval of orthogonality. Historically, the problem of finding bounds and inequalities has lived under the shadow of the deeper asymptotics on the segment, for the latter are often the only way of obtaining the former. Of course, this way usually gives asymptotic estimates for certain "nice" measures only. In this paper we develop an effective approach to find bounds and inequalities of many important quantities in orthogonal polynomials for general measures on finite intervals. Thus this makes it possible to extend many important results previously obtained. In particular, we obtain far-reaching generalizations of the important results of $P$. Nevai on divergence of Lagrange interpolation in $L^{p}$ with $p>2$ [11, Corollary 10.18, p. 181; 12, Theorem, p. 190] and give new answers to Problems VIII and IX of P. Turán [18, pp. 32-33]; we extend Turán's Inequality [17, Lemma II] to "arbitrary" measures supported in $[-1,1]$ and solve Problem LXXI of P. Turán [18, p. 71].

In the next section we state a basic theorem on which our approach is based. Then in Section 3 we give bounds and inequalities for $\gamma_{n-1} / \gamma_{n}$, $\sum \lambda_{k n}^{G}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|^{p}$, and $P_{n}(x)$, respectively. Finally, in Section 4 , we
discuss some applications of these results to convergence of orthogonal Fourier series, convergence of Lagrange interpolation, orthogonal series with gaps and Hermite-Fejér interpolation, as well as to the $L^{2}$ version of the principle of contamination.

## 2. The Basic Theorem

To state our result we need to introduce some notations. For each $n$, $n=1,2, \ldots$, define $1 \leqslant i_{n} \leqslant j_{n} \leqslant n, m_{n}=j_{n}-i_{n}+1$, and $\Delta_{n}:=\left[\xi_{j_{n}}, \xi_{i_{n}}\right]$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{i_{n}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x_{i_{n}} & \text { for } & i_{n}>1 \\
1 & \text { for } & i_{n}=1,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \xi_{j_{n}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x_{j_{n}} & \text { for } & j_{n}<n \\
-1 & \text { for } & j_{n}=n .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

Theorem 1. Let s be a fixed positive integer, and let $1 \leqslant i_{n} \leqslant j_{n} \leqslant n$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$. Then for any sequence of positive numbers $\mathscr{E}=\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ and for any matrix $X$ there exist sets

$$
I_{n}:=I_{n}\left(\mathscr{E}, s, X, A_{n}\right)=\bigcup_{k=i_{n}}^{j_{n}}\left(x_{k n}-h_{k n}, x_{k n}+h_{k n}^{\prime}\right) \cap A_{n}
$$

with $h_{k n}, h_{k n}^{\prime}>0$ such that $\left|I_{n}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}(x):=\sum_{k=i_{n}}^{j_{n}}\left|\left(x-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}(x)\right|^{s} \geqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{n}^{s} m_{n}^{1-s}}{(24)^{s}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x \in \Delta_{n} \backslash I_{n}$ and $n=1,2, \ldots$. Moreover, the order $\varepsilon_{n}^{s} m_{n}^{1-s}$ is the best possible and is attained by the Chebyshev nodes. ${ }^{1}$

Proof. Main ideas of the proof, including the origin of the crucial lemma below, can be found in the important paper of P. Vértesi [19]. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1 in [15, p. 763] and corrects some technical constants to fill a minor gap there.

If $\left|\Delta_{n}\right|=0$ or $\varepsilon_{n} \geqslant\left|\Delta_{n}\right|$ then there is nothing to prove, so assume that $\left|\Delta_{n}\right|>0$ and $\varepsilon_{n}<\left|\Delta_{n}\right|$. Let $n$ be fixed. We introduce the following notations, where $d, d_{k} \geqslant 0$, and adopt the convention that $[a, b]:=\phi$ if $a>b$ :

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{k}=\left[x_{k+1}, x_{k}\right], \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n ; \\
J_{k}(d)= \begin{cases}{\left[x_{k+1}+d, x_{k}-d\right],} & k=1,2, \ldots, n-1 \\
{\left[x_{1}+d, x_{0}\right],} & k=0 \\
{\left[x_{n+1}, x_{n}-d\right],} & k=n ;\end{cases} \\
\bar{J}_{k}:=\overline{J_{k}\left(d_{k}\right)}:=J_{k} \backslash J_{k}\left(d_{k}\right), \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n ; \\
M_{n}:=\left\{k: J_{k} \subset A_{n}\right\} ; \\
N_{n}:=M_{n} \backslash\{0, n\} .
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

Our proof is based on a result of P. Erdös and P. Turán [4, Lemma IV]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{k}(x)+l_{k+1}(x) \geqslant 1, & x \in J_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n-1 \\
l_{1}(x) \geqslant 1, & x \in J_{0} \\
l_{n}(x) \geqslant 1, & x \in J_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A brief outline of the proof is: For a given $\varepsilon_{n}$ we will give $I_{n}$ in the form

$$
I_{n}=\bigcup_{k \in M_{n}} J_{k}=\bigcup_{k \in M_{n}} J_{k} \backslash J_{k}\left(d_{k}\right),
$$

and then determine these $d_{k}$ 's and estimate the measures of these $\bar{J}_{k}$ 's.
To this end we distinguish two cases according to $k \in M_{n} \cap\{0, n\}$ or $k \in N_{n}$.

Case 1. $k \in M_{n} \cap\{0, n\}$.
Put $d_{0}=d_{n}=2^{-3} \varepsilon_{n} m_{n}^{(1-s) / s}$. If, e.g., $\left|J_{0}\right|>0$ and $x \in J_{0}\left(d_{0}\right)$ we use $l_{1}(x)>1$ to deduce

$$
A_{n}(x) \geqslant\left|x-x_{1}\right|^{s}\left|l_{1}(x)\right|^{s} \geqslant d_{0}^{s}=8^{-s} \varepsilon_{n}^{s} m_{n}^{1-s} \geqslant c \varepsilon_{n}^{s} m_{n}^{1-s}
$$

and

$$
\left|\bar{J}_{0} \cup \bar{J}_{n}\right| \leqslant d_{0}+d_{n} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{4} .
$$

Case 2. $k \in N_{n}$.
Obviously, if $N_{n} \neq \phi$ then $i_{n}<j_{n}$, since $i_{n}=j_{n}$ implies $\left|\Delta_{n}\right|=0$ or $i_{n}=j_{n}=1$ or $i_{n}=j_{n}=n$, each of which means $N_{n}=\phi$. Define $z_{k}=z_{k}\left(d_{k}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|=\min _{x \in J_{k}\left(d_{k}\right)}\left|\omega_{n}(x)\right| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we refer to a result and its proof given by the author in [15, Lemma, p. 764] which provides a lower bound for two arbitrary successive terms in the sum $A_{n}(x)$.

Lemma. Let $s \geqslant 1, n \geqslant 2$, and $1 \leqslant k, r \leqslant n-1$. Then

$$
L_{k}(x)+L_{k+1}(x) \geqslant 2^{1-s} d_{k}^{s}\left|\frac{\omega_{n}\left(z_{r}\right)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right|^{s}, \quad x \in J_{r}\left(d_{r}\right)
$$

where

$$
L_{k}(x)=\left|\left(x-x_{k}\right) l_{k}(x)\right|^{s}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Proof of the lemma. Since

$$
L_{i}(x)=\left|\frac{\omega_{n}(x)}{\omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right|^{s}=\left|\frac{\omega_{n}(x)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{r}\right)}\right|^{s} L_{i}\left(z_{r}\right)
$$

by (5) we have

$$
L_{i}(x) \geqslant L_{i}\left(z_{r}\right), \quad x \in J_{r}\left(d_{r}\right), \quad i=k, k+1 .
$$

So for $x \in J_{r}\left(d_{r}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{k}(x)+L_{k+1}(x) & \geqslant L_{k}\left(z_{r}\right)+L_{k+1}\left(z_{r}\right) \\
& =\left|\frac{\omega_{n}\left(z_{r}\right)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right|^{s}\left(\left|z_{k}-x_{k}\right|^{s}\left|l_{k}^{s}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|+\left|z_{k}-x_{k+1}\right|^{s}\left|l_{k+1}^{s}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|\right) \\
& \geqslant d_{k}^{s}\left|\frac{\omega_{n}\left(z_{r}\right)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right|^{s}\left(\left|l_{k}^{s}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|+\left|l_{k+1}^{s}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|\right) \\
& \geqslant 2^{1-s} d_{k}^{s}\left|\frac{\omega_{n}\left(z_{r}\right)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right|^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

using $l_{k}(x)+l_{k+1}(x) \geqslant 1$ for $x \in J_{k}$ to deduce that $l_{k}^{s}(x)+l_{k+1}^{s}(x) \geqslant 2^{1-s}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Now we continue the proof of Theorem 1. Let $d_{k}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{k}=\sup \left\{d: \text { there exists a point } x \in J_{k}(d)\right. \\
& \text { such that (4) does not hold }\}, \quad k \in N_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously $0<d_{k} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left|J_{k}\right|$ and (4) holds for all points, except at most $m_{n}$ isolated points, in the set $\bigcup_{k \in N_{n}} J_{k}\left(d_{k}\right)$. Thus it suffices to estimate the measure $v_{n}:=\left|\bigcup_{k \in N_{n}} J_{k}\right|=\left|\bigcup_{k \in N_{n}} J_{k} \backslash J_{k}\left(d_{k}\right)\right|$. Omitting those intervals for which $d_{k} \leqslant q_{n}:=v_{n} / 6 m_{n}$ we shall estimate the measure $\mu_{n}:=\left|\bigcup_{k \in K_{n}} \bar{J}_{k}\right|$, where $K_{n}:=\left\{k \in N_{n}: d_{k}>q_{n}\right\}$. Since by definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}=2 \sum_{k \in N_{n}} d_{k}, \quad \mu_{n}=2 \sum_{k \in K_{n}} d_{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
v_{n}-\mu_{n}=\left|\bigcup_{k \in N_{n} K_{n}} \bar{J}_{k}\right| \leqslant 2 m_{n} q_{n} \leqslant \frac{v_{n}}{3},
$$

we have $2 v_{n} / 3 \leqslant \mu_{n}$. Thus, if we can show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n} \leqslant \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon_{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|I_{n}\right| \leqslant\left|\bar{J}_{0} \cup \bar{J}_{n}\right|+v_{n} \leqslant \varepsilon_{n}$, where

$$
I_{n}=\bigcup_{k \in M_{n}} \bar{J}_{k}=\bigcup_{k=i_{n}}^{l_{n}}\left(x_{k}-d_{k}, x_{k}+d_{k-1}\right) \cap \Delta_{n}
$$

which will complete the proof.
Now we will prove (7). By the definition of the $d_{r}$ 's for each $r \in N_{n}$ we can choose a point $u_{r} \in J_{r}\left(\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1 / s} d_{r}\right)$ (since $\left.\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1 / s}<1\right)$ so that (4) does not hold, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}\left(u_{r}\right)<c \varepsilon_{n}^{s} m_{n}^{1-s}, \quad \forall r \in N_{n}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=(24)^{-x}$. Thus by (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r \in K_{n}} d_{r} A_{n}\left(u_{r}\right)<2^{-1} c \mu_{n} \varepsilon_{n}^{s} m_{n}^{1 \cdots s} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, let $z_{k}=z_{k}\left(\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1 / s} d_{k}\right)$ be defined in (5), i.e.,

$$
\left|\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|=\min _{\left.x \in J_{k}(2 / 3)^{1 / d} d_{k}\right)}\left|\omega_{n}(x)\right| .
$$

Noting that $j_{n} \notin N_{n}$ and hence $j_{n} \notin K_{n}$, by the lemma we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{r} A_{n}\left(u_{r}\right) & =d_{r} \sum_{k=i_{n}}^{j_{n}} L_{k}\left(u_{r}\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2} d_{r} \sum_{k=i_{n}}^{j_{n}-1}\left[L_{k}\left(u_{r}\right)+L_{k+1}\left(u_{r}\right)\right] \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2} d_{r} \sum_{k \in K_{n}}\left[L_{k}\left(u_{r}\right)+L_{k+1}\left(u_{r}\right)\right] \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2} d_{r} \sum_{k \in K_{n}} 2^{1 \quad s}\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1 / s} d_{k}\right]^{s}\left|\frac{\omega_{n}\left(z_{r}\right)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right|^{\prime} \\
& >3^{-1} 2^{1 \cdots s} q_{n}^{s-1} \sum_{k \in K_{n}} d_{r} d_{k}\left|\frac{\omega_{n}\left(z_{r}\right)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right|^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $d_{k}>q_{n}$ for $k \in K_{n}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r \in K_{n}} d_{r} A_{n}\left(u_{r}\right)>3^{-1} 2^{1-s} q_{n}^{s-1} \sum_{r \in K_{n}} \sum_{k \in K_{n}} d_{r} d_{k}\left|\frac{\omega_{n}\left(z_{r}\right)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right|^{s} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the inequality $t+1 / t \geqslant 2(t>0)$ and (6) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r \in K_{n}} \sum_{k \in K_{n}} d_{r} d_{k}\left|\frac{\omega_{n}\left(z_{r}\right)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right|^{s} & \geqslant 2^{-1} \sum_{r \in K_{n}} \sum_{\substack{k \geq r_{r} \\
k \in K_{n}}} d_{r} d_{k}\left(\left|\frac{\omega_{n}\left(z_{r}\right)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right|^{s}+\left|\frac{\omega_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)}{\omega_{n}\left(z_{r}\right)}\right|^{s}\right) \\
& \geqslant \sum_{r \in K_{n}} \sum_{\substack{k \geqslant r \\
k \in K_{n}}} d_{r} d_{k} \\
& \geqslant 2^{-1} \sum_{r \in K_{n}} \sum_{k \in K_{n}} d_{r} d_{k}=2^{-3} \mu_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r \in K_{n}} d_{r} A_{n}\left(u_{r}\right) & >3^{-1} 2^{-s-2} q_{n}^{s-1} \mu_{n}^{2} \\
& \geqslant 3^{-1} 2^{-s-2} 6^{1-s} \mu_{n}^{s+1} m_{n}^{1-s}=2^{s-1} c \mu_{n}^{s+1} m_{n}^{1-s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In comparison with (10) one obtains (7). Since $c$ does not depend on $n$, the proof of (4) is complete.

For the Chebyshev nodes it is well known that

$$
L_{k}(x)=\left\{n^{-1}\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|T_{n}(x)\right|\right\}^{s}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n,
$$

where $T_{n}(x)$ denotes the Chebyshev polynomial of first kind. If we assume, say, that $\frac{1}{4} n \leqslant k \leqslant \frac{3}{4} n$ and $d_{k}=\frac{1}{4}\left|J_{k}\right|$ then

$$
L_{k}(x) \sim n^{-s}, \quad x \in \bigcup_{r=0}^{n} J_{r}\left(d_{r}\right)
$$

Meanwhile, for $i_{n}$ and $j_{n}$ with $\frac{1}{4} n \leqslant i_{n}<j_{n} \leqslant \frac{3}{4} n$ we have $\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \sim \varepsilon_{n} \sim m_{n} / n$. Thus for $x \in \bigcup_{r=0}^{n} J_{r}\left(d_{r}\right) \cap \Delta_{n}$ one obtains

$$
A_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=i_{n}}^{i_{n}} L_{k}(x) \sim \frac{m_{n}}{n^{s}} \sim \varepsilon_{n}^{s} m_{n}^{1-s}
$$

Remark. Theorem 1 in $[15, \mathrm{p} .762]$ mentioned above is a special case when $\Delta_{n} \equiv[-1,1]$. In this paper we usually use the conclusion for $s=1$ and in this case (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=i_{n}}^{j_{n}}\left|\left(x-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}(x)\right| \geqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{24} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1 provides a method to estimate lower bounds of many important quantities in orthogonal polynomials and approximation theory. This method of estimates is suitable for every matrix of zeros (1), for every measure $d x$ defined on $[-1,1]$, and usually for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But other approaches for estimates previously used usually give asymptotic estimation for certain "good" measures only.

## 3. Bounds and Inequalities

3.1. Write

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right):=\left\{x \in[-1,1]: \alpha^{\prime}(x)=0\right\} ; \\
& \mathscr{M}:=\text { the collection of all Lebesgue measurable sets in }[-1,1] ; \\
&|\Omega|:=\text { the measure of } \Omega, \quad \Omega \in \mathscr{M} ; \\
& \sigma(\Delta ; \delta):=\sigma(d x ; A ; \delta):=\frac{\inf _{\Omega \in \mathscr{M}, \Omega \subset A,|\Omega|=\delta} \int_{\Omega} d \alpha(x)}{\int_{A} d \alpha(x)},  \tag{13}\\
& \Delta \in \mathscr{M}, 0<\delta \leqslant|\Delta| ; \\
& \sigma(\delta):=\sigma([-1,1] ; \delta) .
\end{align*}
$$

Definition. We write $\alpha \in \Sigma$ if there exists a $\delta<2$ such that $\sigma(d \alpha$; $[-1,1] ; \delta)>0$.

Now we have

Lemma 1. If $|\Delta| \geqslant \delta>\left|\Delta \cap Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$ then $\sigma(A ; \delta)>0$. In particular, if $\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|<2$, then $\alpha \in \Sigma$.

Proof. Write

$$
E_{n}=\left\{x \in \Delta: \alpha^{\prime}(x) \leqslant \frac{1}{n}\right\} .
$$

Then we claim that for every $\varepsilon>\left|\Delta \cap Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$ there exists $N$ such that $\left|E_{N}\right|<\varepsilon$. In fact, suppose to the contrary that there would be $\varepsilon_{0}>\left|\Delta \cap Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$ satisfying $\left|E_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon_{0}$ for all $n$. It is clear that $E_{n+1} \subset E_{n}$, $n=1,2, \ldots$ and hence for $E=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$ we have

$$
|E|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|E_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon_{0}
$$

On the other hand, if $x \in E$ then $x \in \Delta \cap Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$, which is a contradiction.

Now choose $\varepsilon$ so that $\delta>\varepsilon>\left|\Delta \cap Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$. As proved before, there exists an $N=N(\varepsilon)$ such that $\left|E_{N}\right|<\varepsilon$. Then for any $\Omega$ with $\Omega \in \mathscr{M}, \Omega \subset \Delta$, and $|\Omega|=\delta$ we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} d \alpha(x) \geqslant \int_{\Omega} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x \geqslant \int_{\Omega \backslash E_{N}} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x \geqslant \frac{\delta-\varepsilon}{N} .
$$

Whence $\sigma(\Delta ; \delta) \geqslant((\delta-\varepsilon) / N)\left\{\int_{\Delta} d \alpha(x)\right\}^{-1}>0$.
The main result in this subsection is
Theorem 2. Let dx be an arbitrary measure supported in $[-1,1]$. Then for every $0<\delta<2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k n}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{(2-\delta) \sigma(\delta)\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} d x(x)\right\}^{1 / 2}}{24}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Our starting point is the expression [11, p. 6]

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{n}(x) \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \lambda_{k n} P_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)=\left(x-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}(x), \\
k=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad n=1,2, \ldots, \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

from which it follows that for each $n=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} & \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\left(x-x_{k}\right) l_{k}(x)\right| d \alpha(x) \\
& =\int_{-1}^{1}\left|P_{n}(x)\right| d x(x) \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \\
& \leqslant\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} d x(x)\right\}^{1 / 2} \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{\int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\left(x-x_{k}\right) l_{k}(x)\right| d \alpha(x)}{\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} d \alpha(x)\right\}^{1 / 2}} .
$$

Applying Theorem 1 with $s=1, \Delta_{n} \equiv[-1,1]$, and $\varepsilon_{n} \equiv 2-\delta$, we get $I_{n}$ such that $\left|I_{n}\right| \leqslant 2-\delta$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| & \geqslant \frac{((2-\delta) / 24) \int_{[-1,1])_{n}} d \alpha(x)}{\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} d \alpha(x)\right\}^{1 / 2}} \\
& \geqslant \frac{(2-\delta) \sigma(\delta)\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} d x(x)\right\}^{1 / 2}}{24}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining Theorem 2 and Lemma 1 we easily get
Corollary 1. For every measure da supported in $[-1,1]$

$$
\frac{\gamma_{n} \cdot 1}{\gamma_{n}} \geqslant \frac{(2-\delta) \sigma(\delta)}{24}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

and for $\alpha \in \Sigma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow x^{i}} \frac{\gamma_{n} 1}{\gamma_{n}}>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|P_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|}\right\}^{1 / n}=1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2 and Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n} \quad\left(x_{k}\right)\right|>0 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma_{n} \mid}{\gamma_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n \cdot 1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \leqslant\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\right\}^{1 / 2}=\left\{\int_{1}^{1} d x(x)\right\}^{1 / 2} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, (15) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma_{n} 1}{\gamma_{n}^{\prime}} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|=\frac{1}{\left|P_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad n=1,2, \ldots . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus our conclusion can be deduced from (18-20).
3.2. According to an inequality given by G. Freud in [6, formula (24)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{k n} P_{n, 1}^{2}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{1-x_{k n}^{2}} \leqslant 2\left(\frac{\gamma_{n}}{\gamma_{n-1}}\right)^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (16) one obtains an estimate as follows.
In what follows, the sign " $O$ " depends only on $\alpha$.
Theorem 3. Let $x \in \Sigma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{k n} P_{n, 1}^{2}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{1-x_{k n}^{2}}=O(1) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

As immediate consequences of Theorem 3 as well as (15) we state the following corollaries.

Corollary 3. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Then for every number $0<\varepsilon_{n} \leqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \ldots x_{k n}^{2} \leqslant i_{n}} \lambda_{k n} P_{n-1}^{2}\left(x_{k n}\right)=O\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 4. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{k n}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|}{\left(1-x_{k n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} & =O(1),  \tag{24}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\int_{-1}^{1}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)^{2} l_{k n}^{2}(x) d x(x)}{\lambda_{k n}\left(1-x_{k n}^{2}\right)} & =O(1),  \tag{25}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\int_{-1}^{1}\left|\left(x-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}(x)\right| d x(x)}{\left(1-x_{k n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} & =O(1), \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\int_{-1}^{1}\left|\left(x-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}^{2}(x)\right| d x(x)}{\lambda_{k n}^{1 / 2}\left(1-x_{k n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}=O(1) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (20) and (22) gives
Corollary 5. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k n} P_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)^{2}\left(1-x_{k n}^{2}\right)}=O(1) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

As to lower bounds we have
Theorem 4. Let $\Delta \subset[-1,1]$ be a union of finitely many disjoint intervals. If $p \geqslant 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Delta} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x>0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \alpha} \sum_{x_{k n} \in A} \lambda_{k n}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|^{p}>0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, (29) is necessary for validity of (30) provided that $p<2$ and $\alpha$ is absolutely continuous.

Proof. Since $\Delta$ is a union of finitely many disjoint intervals, (29) implies that there exists an interval $\Omega \subset \Delta$ such that $\int_{\Omega} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x>0$. So we may suppose without loss of generality that $\Delta=[a, b]$ and that if $\int_{c}^{d} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x=$ $\int_{a}^{b} x^{\prime}(x) d x$ for $a \leqslant c \leqslant d \leqslant b$ then $c=a$ and $d=b$.

Now let $\delta$ satisfy $0<\delta \leqslant \frac{1}{4}\left|\boldsymbol{A} \backslash Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$ and

$$
\int_{a+\delta}^{b-\delta} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x \geqslant \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Delta} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x .
$$

Hence by Theorem 6.1.1 in [16, p. 107] there exists a number $N$ such that for every $n \geqslant N, P_{n}(x)$ has at least one zero in both $[a, a+\delta]$ and $[b-\delta, b]$, say, $x_{j_{n}}$ and $x_{i_{n}}$, respectively. Then $a \leqslant x_{j_{n}} \leqslant a+\delta, b-\delta \leqslant x_{i_{n}} \leqslant b$, and

$$
\int_{x_{j_{n}}}^{x_{i n}} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x \geqslant \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Delta} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x
$$

whenever $n \geqslant N$. Now we need the following lemma the proof of which is similar to that of Theorem 2 and, therefore, it is omitted.

Lemma 2. For every $1 \leqslant i_{n} \leqslant j_{n} \leqslant n$ and for any $0<\delta_{n}<\left|\Delta_{n}\right|$, where $A_{n}$ is defined in Theorem 1, we have
$\sum_{k=i_{n}}^{j_{n}} \lambda_{k n}\left|P_{n \ldots 1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{\delta_{n} \sigma\left(A_{n} ;\left|\Delta_{n}\right|-\delta_{n}\right)\left\{\int_{A_{n}} d x(x)\right\}^{1 / 2}}{24}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots$,
and for every $1 \leqslant i_{n} \leqslant j_{n} \leqslant n$ and for any $0<\delta_{n}<\left|\Omega_{n}\right|$, where $\Omega_{n}:=$ $\left[x_{j_{n}}, x_{i_{n}}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=i_{n}}^{j_{n}} \lambda_{k n}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{\delta_{n} \sigma\left(\Omega_{n} ;\left|\Omega_{n}\right|-\delta_{n}\right)\left\{\int_{\Omega_{n}} d \alpha(x)\right\}^{1 / 2}}{24}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we continue the proof of Theorem 4. Applying Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x_{k} \in \Delta} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| & \geqslant \sum_{k=i_{n}}^{j_{n}} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \\
& \geqslant \frac{\delta \sigma\left(\Omega_{n} ;\left|\Omega_{n}\right|-\delta\right)\left\{\int_{\Omega_{n}} d x(x)\right\}^{1 / 2}}{24} \\
& \geqslant \frac{\delta \sigma(\Delta ;|\Delta|-3 \delta)\left\{\int_{A} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x\right\}^{1 / 2}}{48}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geqslant N$. Since

$$
|\Delta|-3 \delta \geqslant|\Delta|-\frac{3}{4}\left|\Delta \backslash Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|>|\Delta|-\left|\Delta \backslash Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\Delta \cap Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|,
$$

by Lemma 1 we have that $\sigma(\Delta ;|\Delta|-3 \delta)>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x_{k} \in \Delta} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|>0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Hölder's Inequality, (30) follows from (33).
To prove the second part of Theorem 4, suppose to the contrary that $\int_{\Delta} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x=0$ where $\Delta$ is a nonempty interval. By absolute continuity $\int_{\Delta} d \alpha(x)=0$. Applying Theorem 2.4 in [5, p. 18] we see that for every $n$, $\Delta$ contains no more than one zero of $P_{n}(x)$. Therefore for $p<2$

$$
\lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|^{p}=\lambda_{k}^{1-p / 2}\left[\lambda_{k} P_{n-1}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{p / 2} \leqslant \lambda_{k}^{1-p / 2} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here we apply an identity $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} P_{n-1}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right)=1$ to deduce $\lambda_{k} P_{n-1}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right) \leqslant 1$ for every $k$ and $n$, and use the result that for absolutely continuous measures [14, p. 46]

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \max _{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n} \lambda_{k n}=0
$$

Theorem 4, together with Lemma 1, gives
Corollary 6. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and let $\Delta$ be defined as in Theorem 4. If $p \geqslant 1$ then (30) holds for any $\Delta$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Delta|>\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right| \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also obtain the following estimate of lower bounds for $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{2} P_{n-1}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right)$, which may easily be deduced from Theorem 1 with $s=2$. We omit the proof.

Theorem 5. Let $d \alpha$ be an arbitrary measure. Then for every $0<\delta<2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k n}^{2} P_{n-1}^{2}\left(x_{k n}\right) \geqslant \frac{(2-\delta)^{2} \sigma(\delta) \int_{-1}^{1} d \alpha(x)}{576 n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.3. As an immediate consequence of (18) and (35) we have

Theorem 6. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}(x)\right|=O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\left(x-x_{k n}\right) l_{k n}(x)\right| \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{2}(x)=O(n) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)^{2} l_{k n}^{2}(x) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 7. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Then
(a) The statement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}(x)\right|=O(1) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\left(x-x_{k n}\right) I_{k n}(x)\right|=O(1) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}(x)\right|=O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|I_{k n}(x)\right| \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}(x) P_{n}^{2}(x)=O(1) ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda_{n}(x)}{\lambda_{n+1}(x)}=O(1) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) Equation (36) gives the "if" portion and (15) yields the "only if" portion.
(b) Equation (40) follows from (36).
(c) By (40) we have

$$
P_{n}^{2}(x)=O(1)\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|\right\}^{2}=O(1)\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\right\}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{l_{k}^{2}(x)}{\lambda_{k}}\right\}=O(1) \lambda_{n}^{-1}(x)
$$

and (41) follows.
(d) Use (41) and the identity

$$
\frac{\lambda_{n}(x)}{\lambda_{n+1}(x)}=1+\lambda_{n}(x) P_{n}^{2}(x) .
$$

Now we turn to discuss lower bounds for $P_{n}(x)$. The following is a Turán type inequality with an effective expression and generalizes all results on finite intervals previously known, including those of Turán [17, p. 307] and Máté et al.[10, p. 279].

Theorem 7. Let $d \alpha$ and $d \beta$ be arbitrary measures supported in $[-1,1]$ and $0<p<\infty$. Then for every $\Delta \in \mathscr{M}$ the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Delta}\left|P_{n}(d x, x)\right|^{p} d \beta(x) \\
& \quad \geqslant \frac{(|\Delta|-\delta)^{p} \sigma(d \beta ; \Delta ; \delta) \int_{\Delta} d \beta(x)}{(24)^{p}\left(\int_{-1}^{1} d x(x)\right)^{p / 2}}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Delta}\left|P_{n}(d \alpha, x)\right|^{p} d \beta(x) \\
& \quad \geqslant \frac{(|\Delta|-\delta)^{p} \sigma(d \beta ;[-1,1] ; \delta) \int_{-1}^{1} d \beta(x)}{(24)^{p}\left(\int_{-1}^{1} d \alpha(x)\right)^{p / 2}}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

hold, whenever $\delta<|\Delta|$. In particular, if $\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|<2$, then for every $2 \geqslant \delta>\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Delta} P_{n}^{2}(d \alpha, x) d \alpha(x) \geqslant \frac{\left(\delta-\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)^{2} \sigma\left(\left(\delta+\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|\right) / 2\right)}{2304}>0, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, whenever $|\Delta|>\delta$.
Proof. Applying Theorem 1 with $s=1$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \equiv|A|-\delta$ we can choose $I_{n}$ so that $\left|I_{n}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon_{n}=|\Delta|-\delta$ and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\left(x-x_{k}\right) l_{k}(x)\right| \geqslant \frac{|\Delta|-\delta}{24}, \quad x \in[-1,1] \backslash I_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

On the other hand, by (15) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{A} \backslash X_{n}} & \left|P_{n}(d x, x)\right|^{p} d \beta(x)\left\{\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(d x, x_{k}\right)\right|\right\}^{p} \\
& =\int_{\Delta \backslash I_{n}}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\left(x-x_{k}\right) l_{k}(x)\right|\right\}^{p} d \beta(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\left\{\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(d \alpha, x_{k}\right)\right|\right\}^{p} \leqslant\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} d x(x)\right\}^{p / 2}
$$

It follows from (13) that $\int_{\Delta V_{n}} d \beta \geqslant \sigma(d \beta ; \Delta ; \delta) \int_{\Delta} d \beta$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Delta}\left|P_{n}(d \alpha, x)\right|^{p} d \beta(x) & \geqslant \int_{\Delta \backslash \iota_{n}}\left|P_{n}(d \alpha, x)\right|^{p} d \beta(x) \\
& \geqslant \frac{(|\Delta|-\delta)^{p} \int_{\Delta \backslash_{n}} d \beta(x)}{(24)^{p}\left(\int_{-1}^{1} d \alpha(x)\right)^{p / 2}} \\
& \geqslant \frac{(|\Delta|-\delta)^{p} \sigma(d \beta ; \Delta ; \delta) \int_{\Delta} d \beta(x)}{(24)^{p}\left(\int_{-1}^{1} d x(x)\right)^{p / 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, using $\int_{d \backslash l_{n}} d \beta \geqslant \sigma(d \beta ;[-1,1] ; \delta) \int_{-1}^{1} d \beta$ deduced from (13), (44) follows. Inequality (45) follows from (44) if we put $\beta=\alpha$ and $p=2$, and replace $\delta$ by $\left(\delta+\left|Z\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|\right) / 2$.

This important theorem has a number of immediate consequences.

Corollary 8. If $\left|\Delta \backslash Z\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right|>0$, i.e.,

$$
\int_{\Delta} \beta^{\prime}(x) d x>0
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A}\left|P_{n}(d x, x)\right|^{p} d \beta(x)>0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\beta$ is absolutely continuous on $\Delta$ then the converse is true. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta}\left|P_{n}(d x, x)\right|^{p} d x>0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $|\Delta|>0$.
Corollary 9. If $\int_{-1}^{1} d \alpha(x)=1$ and $0<p<\infty$, then for every $\Delta \in \mathscr{M}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Delta}\left|P_{n}(d \alpha, x)\right|^{p} d x \geqslant \frac{|\Delta|^{p+1}}{2(48)^{p}}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Take $\delta=\frac{1}{2}|\Delta|$ and $\beta \equiv 1$ in (43).
Using Theorem 1 and (15) we can also prove

Theorem 8. For every measure $d \alpha$ and for any sequence of positive numbers $\mathscr{E}=\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ there are sets

$$
I_{n}:=I_{n}(\mathscr{E}, d \alpha)=\bigcup_{k=1}^{n}\left(x_{k n}-h_{k n}, x_{k n}+h_{k n}^{\prime}\right) \cap[-1,1]
$$

with $h_{k n}, h_{k n}^{\prime}>0$ such that $\left|I_{n}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{n}(d \alpha, x)\right| \geqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{24\left(\int_{-1}^{1} d \alpha(x)\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x \in[-1,1] \backslash I_{n}$ and $n=1,2, \ldots$

We conclude this section by formulating the following

Theorem 9. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$. If $\alpha$ is continuous at $x \in[-1,1]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}(x) P_{n}^{2}(x)=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}(x)\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k n}(x)\right|\right\}^{2}=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If (51) holds, then (50) follows according to (40). Conversely, apply Lemmas 9.1 and 10.1 in [11, pp. 156, 175] and use continuity of $\alpha$ at $x$.

## 4. Applications

The above bounds and inequalities, especially, (16), (30), and (46), make it possible to extend many important results previously obtained on convergence of orthogonal Fourier series and on mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation. The reason is that the inequalities of the forms (16), (30), and (46), and so forth, are just the crucial points to prove these results and these inequalities were previously obtained for certain "nice" measures only, since only for "nice" measures can one use asymptotics to get them. Sometimes we will not give detailed proofs and will give the inequalities needed and the references quoted only, since only small modifications are necessary.

### 4.1. Absolute Convergence of Orthogonal Fourier Series

Theorem 10. Let $d \alpha$ be an arbitrary measure. Then the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{n} P_{n}(d x, x)\right| \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

either diverges almost everywhere in $[-1,1]$ or converges almost everywhere in the set $\left\{x \in[-1,1]: \alpha^{\prime}(x)>0\right\}$, and the latter case is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right|<\infty . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the same arguments as in Theorem 3 in [9, p. 321] the convergence of (52) on a set $E \subset[-1,1],|E|>0$, implies (53), since that proof only applies the inequality

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow x} \int_{A}\left|P_{n}(d x, x)\right| d x>0
$$

for every set $\Delta$ with $|\Delta|>0$. But in the present case by (47) it is true for every measure $d \alpha$. On the other hand, by Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem it follows from (53) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{n} P_{n}(x)\right| \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x & \leqslant \int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{n} P_{n}(x)\right| d \alpha(x) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right| \int_{-1}^{1}\left|P_{n}(x)\right| d \alpha(x) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right|\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} d \alpha(x)\right\}^{1 / 2}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which means $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{n} P_{n}(x)\right| \alpha^{\prime}(x)<\infty$ holds almost everywhere in $[-1,1]$, and hence $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{n} P_{n}(x)\right|<\infty$ holds almost everywhere in the set $\left\{x \in[-1,1]: \alpha^{\prime}(x)>0\right\}$.

Remark. This theorem is a far-reaching extension of Theorem 3 in [9, p. 321], which is proved for measures $d \alpha$ with $\alpha^{\prime}>0$, a.e.

### 4.2. Mean Convergence of Orthogonal Fourier Series

For $f \in L_{d \alpha}^{1}$ the $n$th partial sum of its orthogonal Fourier series in orthogonal polynomials $P_{k}(d x)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(d \alpha, f, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{k}(x) \int_{-1}^{1} f(t) P_{k}(t) d \alpha(t) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we formulate the following important result in which we use the notation

$$
\|g\|_{r d x, p}=\left\{\int_{1}^{1}|g(t)|^{p} v(x) d x(x)\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

Theorem 11. Let $0<p<\infty, 1<q<\infty, \quad q^{\prime}=q /(q-1)$, and $u^{1 /(1-4)}, u, w \in L_{d x}^{1}$. Let $\int_{-1}^{1} w(x) \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x>0$ and $\int_{-1}^{1} u(x) \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x>0$. Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n}(d \alpha, f)\right\|_{w d x, p} \leqslant C\|f\|_{u d x, u}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots, \quad \forall f \in L_{u d x}^{q}, \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C$ independent of $n$ and $f$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geqslant 1}\left\|P_{n}(d x)\right\|_{w \cdot d \alpha, p}<\infty \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geqslant 1}\left\|P_{n}(d x) u^{-1}\right\|_{u d x, q^{\prime}}<\infty . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $p \geqslant 2$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\alpha^{\prime}(x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{-p / 2} w(x) \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x<\infty \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $1<q \leqslant 2$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\alpha^{\prime}(x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{q / 2(1-q)} u^{1 /(1-q)}(x) \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x<\infty \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First we point out that using Hölder's Inequality, $f \in L_{u d \alpha}^{q}$, together with $u^{1 /(1-q)} \in L_{d \alpha}^{1}$, implies $f \in L_{d x}^{1}$. Now (55) gives

$$
\left\|S_{n+1}(d \alpha, f)-S_{n}(d \alpha, f)\right\|_{w d x, p} \leqslant C\|f\|_{u d x, q}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{w d x, p}\left|\int_{-1}^{1} f P_{n} d \alpha(x)\right| \leqslant C\|f\|_{u d x, y} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose $f(x)=\left(\left|P_{n}(x)\right| u^{-1}(x)\right)^{1 / q-1)}$ sign $P_{n}(x)$ then $f \in L_{u d x}^{q}$ so that by (60) we have $\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{w d x, p}\left\|P_{n} u^{-1}\right\|_{u d x, q^{*}} \leqslant C$. Applying Corollary 8 we conclude $\inf _{n \geqslant 1}\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{w \cdot d \alpha, p}>0$ and $\inf _{n \geqslant 1}\left\|P_{n} u^{-1}\right\|_{u d x, q^{\prime}}>0$. Hence (56) and (57) follow. Now (58) and (59) follow from Theorem 7.31 in [11, p. 138].

Corollary 10. Let do be an arbitrary measure supported in $[-1,1]$. If

$$
\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\alpha^{\prime}(x)^{\varepsilon}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{-1} d x=\infty
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$, then the sequence of Fourier operators $\left\{S_{n}(d \alpha)\right\}$ is not uniformly bounded in $L_{d x}^{p}$ provided $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and $p \neq 2$.

Proof. For $p=1$ or $p=\infty$ the corollary is true (see [11, p. 169]).

Now assume $1<p<\infty$ and $p \neq 2$. In Theorem 11 let $q=p \neq 2, w=u=1$. Then it follows from (58) and (59) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \alpha^{\prime}(x)^{1-p / 2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p / 4} d x<\infty, \quad \text { if } \quad p>2 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \alpha^{\prime}(x)^{1-p / 2(p-1)}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-p / 4(p-1)} d x<\infty, \quad \text { if } p<2 \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the assumptions, neither (61) nor (62) could be true.

Remark. The special examples applying Corollary 10 can be found in [11, Corollary 8.14, p. 155; Theorems 9.26 and 9.27 , pp. 168-169].
4.3. Mean Convergence of Lagrange Interpolation and Problems VIII and IX of P. Turán

A well-known result proved by Erdös and Turán in [3] is
Theorem A. For every function $f \in C[-1,1]$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow x} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|f(x)-L_{n}(d \alpha, f, x)\right|^{2} d \alpha(x)=0
$$

It is natural to ask whether one can obtain conditions guaranteeing

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|f(x)-L_{n}(d x, f, x)\right|^{p} w(x) d x=0
$$

for all $f \in C[-1,1]$, where $0<p<\infty$ and $w(\geqslant 0) \in L^{1}[-1,1]$. Freud lists this problem as unsolved problem No. 1 in his book [5, p. 273]. Meanwhile, one of Turán's favorite and frequently repeated problems was the following [18, p. 32]

Problem VIII. Does there exist an absolutely continuous measure $d \alpha$ with support in $[-1,1]$ such that, for some $f \in C[-1,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|f(x)-L_{n}(d x, f, x)\right|^{p} d x(x)=\infty \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $p>2$ ?
It is remarkable that both Freud and Turán agreed that the resolution of this problem is of primary significance. In connection with Problem VIII

Turán also proposed its somewhat weaker form and another problem [18, pp. 33-34], which are restated as follows.

Problem IX. Does there exist an absolutely continuous measure $d \alpha$ with support in $[-1,1]$ such that, for every given $p>2$, there is an $f \in C[-1,1]$, such that (63) holds?

Problem XI. Given $p>1$, what is a necessary and sufficient condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|f(x)-L_{n}(X, f, x)\right|^{p} d x=0 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in C[-1,1]$ ?
Askey [1, p. 77] conjectured that the Pollaczek weight [11, p. 80] solves Turán's Problems VIII and IX, and Nevai proved it in [11, Corollary 10.18 , p. 181; 12, Theorem, p. 190].

In what follows, $S$ is the Szegö class, i.e., $\alpha \in S$ means $\operatorname{supp}(d x)=$ [ $-1,1]$ and

$$
\frac{\log \alpha^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \in L^{1}[-1,1]
$$

and JS (just Szegö) denotes the set of $\alpha$ satisfying $\alpha \in S$ and

$$
\frac{\left[\alpha^{\prime}(x)\right]^{-\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \notin L^{1}[-1,1]
$$

holds for every $\varepsilon>0$.
Nevai's results are the following.

Theorem B. Let either $\alpha \in J S$ or let $\alpha$ be a Pollaczek weight or let $\alpha$ be defined by

$$
\alpha^{\prime}(x)=\varphi(x) \exp \left\{-\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right\}
$$

where $\varphi(>0) \in \operatorname{Lip} 1$. Then for every $p>2$ there exists a function $f \in C[-1,1]$ such that (63) holds.

Theorem C. Let $\alpha \in S, 1 \leqslant p_{0}<\infty$, and $w(\geqslant 0) \in L^{1}[-1,1]$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\alpha^{\prime}(x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{-p / 2} w(x) d x=\infty \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $p>p_{0}$. Then there exists a function $f \in C[-1,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|L_{n}(d x, f, x)\right|^{p} w(x) d x=\infty \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $p>p_{0}$.
Hence, Theorem C solves Problem VIII if we set $w=\alpha^{\prime}$. The crucial points to prove Theorems B and C are to show (16) and to show that there exists a number $\delta>0$ such that (33) holds for any $\Delta$ with $|\Delta|>\delta$, where $\Delta \subset[-1,1]$ is a union of finitely many disjoint intervals. The first problem can be solved easily for the measures in Theorems B and C, since by Rahmanov's Theorem [13, Theorem 4.5.7, p. 20] we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

As to the second one, Nevai points out in [13, p. 29] that it is even more difficult and he can only prove the following results [11, Corollary 9.13, p. 161 and Theorem 9.10, p. 160].

Theorem D. Let $\alpha^{\prime}(x)\left(\in L^{1}[-1,1]\right)>0$ for almost every $x \in(-1,1)$ and $\Delta \subset[-1,1]$. Let the sequence $\left\{\left|P_{n}(x)\right|\right\}$ be uniformly bounded for $x \in A$. Then (33) is valid.

Theorem E. Let $\alpha \in S$. Then there exists a number $\delta=\delta(d \alpha)>0$ such that if $\Delta \subset[-1,1]$ is a union of finitely many disjoint intervals with $|A| \geqslant 2-\delta$ then (33) is valid.

Now Corollary 1 and Theorem 4 make it possible to extend Theorems B and C in case $2<p_{0}<\infty$ to a measure $\alpha \in \Sigma$ (see the definition of $\Sigma$ before Lemma 1).

Theorem 12. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$. If $w(\geqslant 0) \in L^{1}$ and $0<p \leqslant \infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{n}(d \alpha)\right\|_{w, p} \leqslant C\left\|L_{n}(d \alpha)\right\|_{L^{x} \rightarrow L_{n}^{p}} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C(d \alpha)$ and

$$
\left\|L_{n}(d x)\right\|_{L^{x} \rightarrow L_{w}^{p}}=\sup _{\|f\|_{x}=1}\left\|L_{n}(d \alpha, f)\right\|_{w, \rho}
$$

Proof. The conclusion in case $p=\infty$ is given by (40). Now assume $0<p<\infty$. Let $\delta=1-\frac{1}{2}\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$ and let an interval $\Omega \subset[-1,1]$ satisfy $|\Omega|=\delta$. Then we can choose two intervals $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ and define $\Delta=\tau_{1} \cup \tau_{2}$
so that $\tau_{1} \cap \tau_{2}=\phi$, $\operatorname{dist}(\Omega, \Delta)>0$, and $|\Delta|>\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$. Then we obtain exactly in the same way as in Theorem 10.15 in [11, p. 180] that

$$
\left\|I_{\Omega} P_{n}\right\|_{w, p} \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sum_{x_{k} \in \Delta} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \leqslant 2\left\|L_{n}(d x)\right\|_{L^{x} \rightarrow L_{k}^{p}},
$$

where $I_{\Omega}$ is the characteristic function of $\Omega$. By (16) and (30) there exists a number $d(\Delta, d x)>0$ and $N(\Delta)$ such that

$$
\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \sum_{x_{k} \in \Delta} \lambda_{k}\left|P_{n-1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \geqslant d^{-1}(A, d \alpha)
$$

whenever $n \geqslant N(\Delta)$. Thus for $n \geqslant N(\Delta)$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{\Omega} P_{n}\right\|_{w, p} \leqslant 2 d(\Delta, d \alpha)\left\|L_{n}(d \alpha)\right\|_{L^{x} \rightarrow L_{n}^{p}} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, we can choose a number $d_{1}(\Delta, d \alpha)$ instead of $2 d(A, d \alpha)$ so that (68) holds for all $n \geqslant 0$. Since this inequality holds for any $\Omega \subset[-1,1]$ with $|\Omega|=\delta>0$, ( 67 ) must hold as well.

Corollary 11. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $w(\geqslant 0) \in L^{1}$. If $0<p<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L_{n}(d \alpha)\right\|_{L^{x} \rightarrow L_{*}^{n}}<\infty \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup }\left\|P_{n}(d \alpha)\right\|_{w, p}<\infty \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $p \geqslant 2$ and (69) holds then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\alpha^{\prime}(x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{-p / 2} w(x) d x<\infty \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 12 and Theorem 7.31 in [11, p. 138], (71) follows from (70).

This corollary extends Theorem 10.16 in [11, p. 181] and the conclusion of Theorem 10.15 for $p \geqslant 2$ in [11, p. 180]. Letting $w=\alpha^{\prime}$ and $w=1$, respectively, from Corollary 11 and the uniform boundedness principle we immediately obtain the following two important results.

Corollary 12. Let $\alpha$ be absolutely continuous and let $2<p<\infty$. If $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\alpha^{\prime}(x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{-p / 2} \alpha^{\prime}(x) d x=\infty \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a function $f \in C[-1,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|f(x)-L_{n}(d \alpha, f, x)\right|^{p} d x(x)=\infty \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 13. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and let $p>2$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|f-L_{n}(d \alpha, f)\right|^{p} d x=0 \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in C[-1,1]$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\alpha^{\prime}(x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{p / 2} d x<\infty . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, Corollary 12 extends Theorem B, since all the weights in Theorem B satisfy (72) for each $p>2$. Meanwhile, Corollary 13 gives a partial answer to Problem XI, more exactly, a necessary condition such that (64) holds for all $f \in C[-1,1]$ in case $L_{n}(X, f)=L_{n}(d x, f)$. In addition, using Corollary 11, we can also prove

Corollary 14. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Let $w(\geqslant 0) \in L^{1}[-1,1]$ and $2 \leqslant p_{0}<\infty$. If

$$
\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\alpha^{\prime}(x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{p / 2} w(x) d x=\infty
$$

holds for every $p>p_{0}$, then there exists a function $f \in C[-1,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|L_{n}(d x, f, x)\right|^{p} w(x) d x=\infty \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $p>p_{0}$.
Proof. Use Corollary 11 and the technical proposition given by Nevai in [13, Theorem 4.8.3, p. 45].

This corollary gives a generalization of Theorem 4.8 .2 in [13, p. 44] and new negative answers to Problems VIII and IX of Turán in [18, pp. 32-33].

### 4.4. Orthogonal Series with Gaps and Problem LXXI of P. Turán

P. Turán in [17, Lemma II] proved the following important inequality for a measure $\alpha \in S$ (A. Máté et al. in [10, Theorem 13.3] extended it to the measures $d \alpha$ satisfying $\alpha^{\prime}(x)>0$ a.e. in $\left.[-1,1]\right)$.

Lemma A. Let $\alpha \in S$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1 \leqslant b-\delta<b+\delta \leqslant 1 \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{b-\delta}^{b+\delta} P_{n}^{2}(x) d \alpha(x) \geqslant C_{1}(d x, \delta) \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds uniformly for $n=1,2, \ldots$, and $b$ satisfying(77).
He also pointed out that $C_{1}(d x, \delta)$ here is an ineffective expression depending only upon $\delta$ and $d \alpha$, that is, it cannot be calculated explicitly, and it would be of special relevance to replace it by an effective one. The background of this requirement was explained in [17; 18, Sect. 59]. In a few words, inequality ( 78 ) plays a crucial role in developing some results of $\mathbf{P}$. Turan and N . Wiener, and to give the quantities in these results explicitly we need the explicit expression for $C_{1}(d \alpha, \delta)$.

As a method to solve this problem, later P. Turán in [18, p. 71] further proposed the following

Problem LXXI. Give an explicit estimate for $n_{0}(d \alpha, \delta)$ such that, if $\alpha \in S$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{b-\delta}^{b+\delta} P_{n}^{2}(x) d x(x)>\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{b-\delta}^{b+\delta} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}>\frac{\delta}{\pi}, \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $n>n_{0}(d \alpha, \delta)$.
Since for $n \leqslant n_{0}(d \alpha, \delta)$ we can determine a quantity $C_{0}(d \alpha, \delta)$ such that for all permitted $b$ 's

$$
\int_{b-\delta}^{b+\delta} P_{n}^{2}(x) d \alpha(x) \geqslant C_{0}(d \alpha, \delta)>0,
$$

the quantity $C_{1}(d \alpha, \delta)$ occurring in (78) can be chosen so that

$$
C_{1}(d \alpha, \delta)=\min \left\{C_{0}(d \alpha, \delta), \frac{\delta}{\pi}\right\},
$$

which is an effective expression, although it cannot be calculated easily.
Now if we put $\beta=\alpha, p=2$, and $\delta=\frac{1}{2}|\Delta|>\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$, then (44) gives the following result which extends (78) to general measures and provides a satisfactory solution to Problem LXXI of Turán, i.e., an explicit expression of a lower bound of the quantity occurring in Turán's Inequality.

Theorem 13. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Then for every $\delta>\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{h-\delta}^{b+\delta} P_{n}^{2}(x) d \alpha(x) \geqslant C_{1}(d x, \delta):=\frac{\sigma(\delta) \delta^{2}}{576}>0, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, provided (77) is valid.
Theorem 13 makes it possible to extend certain results of Turán [17, Theorems I, II, and III] and Wiener [20, Theorem I], and to give explicit expressions for the quantities occurring in these results. The latter is just a reason to propose Problem LXXI for Turán.

Theorem 14. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $1>\delta>4\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{j} P_{v_{j}}(x) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the gap condition
$v_{1} \geqslant B_{1}(d x, \delta):=\frac{110592 \pi}{\sigma(\delta / 4) \delta^{3}}, \quad v_{j+1}-v_{j} \geqslant B_{1}(d x, \delta), \quad j=1, \ldots, N-1$,
then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} f_{N}^{2}(x) d \alpha(x) \leqslant C_{2}(d x, \delta) \int_{b-\delta}^{b+\delta} f_{N}^{2}(x) d \alpha(x) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all b's satisfying (77), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}(d \alpha, \delta)=\frac{147456}{\sigma(\delta / 4) \delta^{2}} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As stated in [17, p. 300], having $C_{1}(d \alpha, \delta)$, we can define the gap condition as follows. Assume that the numbers $b$ and $\delta$ satisfy (77). Now put

$$
f_{0}(x):=f_{0}(\delta, b ; x)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { for } x=b \\ 0, & \text { for } x \leqslant b-\delta \text { or } x \geqslant b+\delta \\ \text { linear, } & \text { for } x \in[b-\delta, b] \\ \text { linear, } & \text { for } x \in[b, b+\delta] .\end{cases}
$$

Let $E_{m}\left(f_{0}\right)$ be the deviation of best uniform approximation of $f_{0}$ in $[-1,1]$ by polynomials of degree $\leqslant m$. Obviously $f_{0} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{1 / \delta} 1$. Thus according to Jackson's Theorem V [2, p. 147] we obtain

$$
E_{m}\left(f_{0}\right) \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2 m \delta}
$$

Hence, if $\delta$ is fixed and $b$ varies, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{m}(\delta):=\sup _{b} E_{m}\left(f_{0}\right) \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2 m \delta} . \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $B(d \alpha, \delta)$ so large that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{m}(\delta)<\frac{1}{8} C_{1}\left(d \alpha, \frac{\delta}{4}\right) \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds whenever $m \geqslant B(d \alpha, \delta)$. By (85) it is sufficient to solve the inequality

$$
\frac{\pi}{2 m \delta} \leqslant \frac{1}{8} C_{1}\left(d x, \frac{\delta}{4}\right),
$$

which implies

$$
m \geqslant \frac{36864 \pi}{\sigma(\delta / 4) \delta^{3}}:=B(d \alpha, \delta)
$$

Therefore, by [17, (4.7)]

$$
B_{1}(d x, \delta)=3 B(d x, \delta)=\frac{110592 \pi}{\sigma(\delta / 4) \delta^{3}}
$$

Again, as proved in [17, p. 300], under the gap condition (82) inequality (83) holds if we take

$$
C_{2}(d \alpha, \delta)=16 C_{1}(d \alpha, \delta)^{-1}
$$

which implies (84).
Applying Theorem 14 instead of Theorem I in [17], and using the Turán-Wiener arguments in [17] we can state the following two results, which extend Theorem III and Theorem II in [17], respectively. But we will not give detailed proofs, since the proofs are similar (see the comment in [10, p. 261]).

Corollary 15. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $b-a>8\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$. If the formal series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} P_{v_{j}}(x) \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the gap condition (82), is $L_{d x}^{2}$ Abel summable on a subinterval $[a, b]$ of $[-1,1]$ to an $f(x)$ with $f(x) \in L_{d x}^{2}([a, b])$, then it is $L_{d x}^{2}$ Abel summable on $[-1,1]$ to an $f(x)$ with $f(x) \in L_{d x}^{2}([-1,1])$.

Corollary 16. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $b-a>8\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$. If a sequence $V=\left\{v_{j}\right\}$ has the two properties that (a) the sequence $\left\{P_{v_{i}}(x)\right\}$ spans $C[a, b]$ in the sup norm, and (b) omitting finitely many of the $v_{j}$ 's the remaining $v_{j}$ 's still have property (a), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(v_{j+1}-v_{j}\right)<B_{1}\left(d \alpha, \frac{b-a}{2}\right) . \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.5. Hermite-Fejér Interpolation

The Hermite-Fejér interpolation of $f \in C[-1,1]$ at the zeros of $P_{n}(d \alpha, x)$ is defined by

$$
H_{n}(f):=H_{n}(f, x):=H_{n}(d x, f):=H_{n}(d x, f, x):=\sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(x_{k n}\right) A_{k n}(x)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{k n}(x) & :=\left[1-\frac{\omega_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}{\omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)\right] l_{k n}^{2}(x) \\
& :=v_{k n}(x) l_{k n}^{2}(x), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 15. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$.
(a) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|H_{n}(d x, f)-f\right\|=0, \quad \forall f \in C[-1,1], \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{n}(d \alpha)\right\|=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) if $H_{n}(d \alpha)$ is $\rho$-normal, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{k n}(x) \geqslant \rho>0, \quad x \in[-1,1], \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for every $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{n}(d x)\right\|=o\left(n^{(1-\rho) / 2+\varepsilon}\right) \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) It is easy to check that

$$
x=\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} A_{k}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{k}\right) l_{k}^{2}(x)=H_{n}(x, x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{k}\right) l_{k}^{2}(x)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{2} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{2} A_{k}(x)+2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\left(x-x_{k}\right) l_{k}^{2}(x) \\
& =H_{n}\left(x^{2}, x\right)+2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\left(x-x_{k}\right) l_{k}^{2}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these identities it follows by (89) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2} l_{k}^{2}(x) & =x\left[x-H_{n}(x, x)\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[x^{2}-H_{n}\left(x^{2}, x\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant\left\|x-H_{n}(x, x)\right\|+\left\|x^{2}-H_{n}\left(x^{2}, x\right)\right\|=o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (90) by virtue of (37).
(b) We need a result proved by Grünwald in [7, formula (92), p. 236], which states that if $H_{n}$ is $\rho$-normal then for every $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\left(x-x_{k}\right) l_{k}^{2}(x)\right|=O\left(n^{-p+2 t}\right) .
$$

Clearly, this remains true provided we replace the sign " $O$ " by " $O$." Thus

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2} l_{k}^{2}(x)=o\left(n^{-\rho+2 k}\right)
$$

Again using (37) we obtain (92).

## 4.6. $L^{2}$ Version of the Principle of Contamination

Given $p>0$, for $\Delta \in \mathscr{M}$, define

$$
\|f\|_{L_{d r}^{p}(\Delta)}:=\left\{\int_{\Delta}|f(x)|^{p} d \alpha(x)\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

Recently, X. Li et al. in [8, Theorem 2.1] proved the following result, which illustrates an $L^{2}$ version of the principle of contamination.

Theorem F. Suppose that $\alpha^{\prime}(x)>0$, a.e. on $[-1,1]$. Let $f \in L_{d x}^{2}[-1,1]$, $f$ not a polynomial, and $\delta \in(0,2]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\left\|f-S_{n}(d x, f)\right\|_{L_{d x}^{2}(a, h]}}{\left\|f-S_{n}(d \alpha, f)\right\|_{L_{d x}^{2}[-1,1]}^{2}}\right\}^{2}=\infty \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds uniformly for $[a, b] \subset[-1,1]$ with $b-a \geqslant \delta$.

We point out that the proof of this theorem uses only Turan's Inequality for $\alpha^{\prime}(x)>0$ a.e., given by A. Máté et al. [10]. Now using Turán's Inequality (45) for general measures one can extend Theorem $F$ as follows.

Theorem 16. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Let $f \in L_{d x}^{2}[-1,1], f$ not a polynomial, and $\delta>\left|Z\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right|$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{x}\left\{\frac{\left\|f-S_{n}(d \alpha, f)\right\|_{L_{d x}^{2}(f)}}{\left.\left\|f-S_{n}(d x, f)\right\|_{[d t x}^{2} \quad 1,1\right]}\right\}^{2}=\infty \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds uniformly for $\Delta \in . / l$ with $|\Delta| \geqslant \delta$.
We leave the details of the proof to the reader.
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